arXiv:1601.00765v3 [math-ph] 12 Sep 2016

Long-range charge order in the extended Holstein–Hubbard model

Tadahiro Miyao
Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University,
Sapporo 060-0810, Japan
E-mail: miyao@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

Abstract

This study investigated the extended Holstein–Hubbard model at half-filling as a model for describing the interplay of electron-electron and electron-phonon couplings. When the electron-phonon and nearest-neighbor electron-electron interactions are strong, we prove the existence of long-range charge order in three or more dimensions at a sufficiently low temperature, As a result, we rigorously justify the phase competition between the antiferromagnetism and charge orders.

1 Introduction

Electron-phonon coupling plays an essential role in the electron-pairing mechanism in the Bardeen–Cooper–Schrieffer theory [1]. Recently, strong electron-phonon coupling was observed in high-T_c cuprates [18] and strong electron-phonon interactions were reported in alkali-doped fullerides and aromatic superconductors [3, 14, 16, 30, 33]. These examples suggest that electron-phonon coupling has received much attention in the field of superconductivity.

In the presence of strong electron-electron Coulomb and electron-phonon interactions, correlated electron systems provide an attractive field of study exhibiting a competition among various phases. Despit the extensive research regarding the competition between these phases, only few exact results are currently known. The Holstein–Hubbard model is a simple model that enables us the exploration of the interplay of electron-electron and electron-phonon interactions. Our aim is to rigorously study the competition between the phases in the system described by the this model.

Rigorous study of the Holstein model was initiated by Löwen [23]. Later, Freericks and Lieb proved that the ground state of the Holstein model is unique and has a total spin $S = 0$ [6]. However, their studies focused on electron-phonon interaction only and did not consider the interplay between electron-electron and electron-phonon interactions. Taking this interplay into account, Miyao proved the following [27]:

- If the electron-phonon coupling is weak ($U_{\text{eff}} - \nu V > 0$), there is no long-range charge order in the Holstein–Hubbard system at half-filling.
- If the electron-phonon coupling is weak, the ground state of the Holstein–Hubbard model is unique and exhibits antiferromagnetism.

More precise statements of these two principles are provided in Section 2. The achievement of this study is the proof that there exists a long-range charge order at a sufficiently low temperature provided that the electron-phonon interaction is strong ($U_{\mathrm{eff}}-\nu V<0$). The obtained phase diagram is compatible with the previous results conjectured by heuristic arguments [2, 29]. To prove the main result, we apply the method of reflection positivity.

Reflection positivity originates from axiomatic quantum field theory [31]. Glimm, Jaffe and Spencer first applied reflection positivity to the study of phase transition [10, 11]. This idea was further developed by Dyson, Fröhlich, Israel, Lieb, Simon and Spencer in [4, 7, 8, 9] and applications of reflection positivity to the Hubbard model are given in [13, 15, 19]. In the present study, we further develop the method used in [8] to apply reflection positivity to the Holstein–Hubbard model which is more difficult to analyze than the Hubbard model.

Usually, the hopping matrix elements of the Hubbard model are real numbers. Because of past successes in the research of the phase transitions of the Hubbard model, it appears that reflection positivity was inapplicable to the case where the hopping matrix elements are *complex* numbers. In the study of the Holstein–Hubbard model, the Lang–Firsov transformation is known to be very useful. However, this transformation changes the hopping matrix elements from real into complex numbers. Therefore, at first glance, it appears that reflection positivity is unsuitable for the study of phase transitions of the Holstein–Hubbard model. On the other hand, in a series of papers [27, 28], Miyao has shown that reflection positivity is still applicable to several models with complex hopping matrix elements (also see [26]).[1] In the present paper, we further extend this idea and adapt reflection positivity to a rigorous analysis of the phase transitions of the Holstein–Hubbard model.

Note that an application of reflection positivity to the Hubbard model with complex hopping matrix elements was first discussed by Lieb [20] in his solution of the flux-phase conjecture (also see [12, 21, 24, 28]). Our present paper aims to apply reflection positivity to the study of phase transitions of a model of interacting electrons with complex hopping matrix elements.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we define the Holstein–Hubbard model and state the main results. We also compare the obtained results with those of previous studies as well. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of the main theorem. In Appendix A, we show that our system is half-filled with electrons; in Appendix B, we give an extension of the Dyson–Lieb–Simon inequality; and in Appendix C, we prove a useful inequality. Appendix D is devoted to construct an antiunitary transformation which plays an important role in Section 3.

Acknowledgments. This work was partially supported by KAKENHI (20554421) and KAKENHI(16H03942). I would be grateful to the anonymous referees for useful comments.

[1] Namely, he applied the *spin* reflection positivity to the Holstein–Hubbard and Su–Schrieffer–Heeger models and investigated their ground state properties.

2 Main results

Let $\Lambda = [-L, L)^\nu \cap \mathbb{Z}^\nu$. The extended Holstein–Hubbard model on Λ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} H_\Lambda = & \sum_{\langle x;y\rangle} \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} (-t)(c^*_{x\sigma} c_{y\sigma} + c^*_{y\sigma} c_{x\sigma}) \\ & + U \sum_{x\in\Lambda} (n_x - \mathbb{1})^2 + V \sum_{\langle x;y\rangle} (n_x - \mathbb{1})(n_y - \mathbb{1}) \\ & + g \sum_{x\in\Lambda} (n_x - \mathbb{1})(b_x + b^*_x) + \omega \sum_{x\in\Lambda} b^*_x b_x, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where $n_x = n_{x\uparrow} + n_{x\downarrow}$ with $n_{x\sigma} = c^*_{x\sigma} c_{x\sigma}$. Here, $\langle x; y\rangle$ refers to a sum over nearest-neighbor pairs. We impose periodic boundary conditions, so $L \equiv -L$. H_Λ acts in the Hilbert space according to

$$\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{F} \otimes \mathfrak{P}. \tag{2.2}$$

The electrons exist in the fermionic Fock space $\mathfrak{F}$ given by $\mathfrak{F} := \mathfrak{F}_{\rm as}(\ell^2_\uparrow(\Lambda) \oplus \ell^2_\downarrow(\Lambda)) := \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \wedge^n(\ell^2_\uparrow(\Lambda) \oplus \ell^2_\downarrow(\Lambda))$, where $\ell^2_\uparrow(\Lambda) = \ell^2_\downarrow(\Lambda) = \ell^2(\Lambda)$, and $\wedge^n$ is the n-fold antisymmetric tensor product. The phonons exist in the bosonic Fock space $\mathfrak{P}$ defined by $\mathfrak{P} = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \otimes^n_{\rm s} \ell^2(\Lambda)$, where $\otimes^n_{\rm s}$ is the n-fold symmetric tensor product, $c_{x\sigma}$ is the electron annihilation operator, and b_x is the phonon annihilation operator. These operators satisfy the following relations:

$$\{c_{x\sigma}, c^*_{x'\sigma'}\} = \delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\delta_{xx'}, \quad [b_x, b^*_{x'}] = \delta_{xx'}. \tag{2.3}$$

t is the hopping matrix element, and g is the strength of the electron-phonon interaction. The on-site and nearest-neighbor repulsions are denoted by U and V, respectively. The phonons are assumed to be dispersionless with energy ω. Henceforth, we assume the following:

- $g \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t > 0, \quad U > 0, \quad V > 0, \quad \omega > 0.$
- L is an odd number.

The thermal expectation value is defined by

$$\langle A\rangle_{\beta,\Lambda} = {\rm Tr}\big[A\, e^{-\beta H_\Lambda}\big] \Big/ Z_{\beta,\Lambda}, \quad Z_{\beta,\Lambda} = {\rm Tr}\big[e^{-\beta H_\Lambda}\big]. \tag{2.4}$$

We restrict ourselves to the case of half-filling. In fact, we show that

$$\langle n_x\rangle_{\beta,\Lambda} = 1 \tag{2.5}$$

in Appendix A. We let $q_x = n_x - \mathbb{1}$ and define the two-point correlation function as[2]

$$\langle q_x q_o\rangle_\beta = \lim_{L\to\infty} \langle q_x q_o\rangle_{\beta,\Lambda}. \tag{2.6}$$

The effective interaction strength is defined as

$$U_{\rm eff} = U - \frac{2g^2}{\omega}. \tag{2.7}$$

In [27], the following theorem is proven provided that $\nu V - U_{\rm eff} < 0$.

[2] In this paper, we simply assume that the right hand side of (2.6) exists. Alternatively, we choose a subsequence such that the right hand side of (2.6) exists.

Theorem 2.1 [27] *Suppose that $\nu V - U_{\rm eff} < 0$. Then the following is obtained:*

(i) *For all $\beta \geq 0$, we have*

$$\lim_{\|x\|\to\infty} \langle q_x q_o \rangle_\beta = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

Hence, there is no long-range charge order.

(ii) *Let $\mathfrak{H}_M$ be the M-subspace*[3] *and let $H_{\Lambda,M} = H_\Lambda \restriction \mathfrak{H}_M$, the restriction of H_Λ to $\mathfrak{H}_M$. The ground state of $H_{\Lambda,M}$ is unique for all possible values of M.*

(iii) *Let*

$$S_x^+ = c_{x\uparrow}^* c_{x\downarrow}, \quad S_x^- = c_{x\downarrow}^* c_{x\uparrow}. \tag{2.10}$$

Let φ_M be the ground state of $H_{\Lambda,M}$. We obtain

$$(-1)^{\|x\|} \langle \varphi_M | S_x^+ S_o^- \varphi_M \rangle > 0 \tag{2.11}$$

for all $x \in \Lambda$, where $\|x\| = \sum_{j=1}^\nu |x_j|$. This means that the ground state is antiferromagnetic.

It is logical and important to study the case where $\nu V - U_{\rm eff} > 0$. Our main result in this paper is the following:

Theorem 2.2 *Assume that $\nu V - U_{\rm eff} > 0$. For each $\nu \geq 3$, we have*

$$\liminf_{\|x\|\to\infty} (-1)^{\|x\|} \langle q_x q_o \rangle_\beta \tag{2.12}$$

$$\geq 1 - \beta^{-1}(\nu V - U_{\rm eff})^{-1} \ln 4(1 - e^{-\beta\omega})^{-1} - 8\nu t(\nu V - U_{\rm eff})^{-1} - \gamma_1 \int_{\mathbb{T}^\nu} dp E(p)^{-1} - \gamma_2, \tag{2.13}$$

where $\mathbb{T} = (-\pi, \pi)$, $E(p) = \sum_{j=1}^\nu (1 - \cos p_j)$ and

$$\gamma_1 = (2\pi)^{-\nu} \frac{1}{2} \Big\{ (\beta V)^{-1} + \Big(\frac{t}{V} \Big)^{1/2} \Big\}, \quad \gamma_2 = \frac{1}{4} \Big(\frac{t}{V} \Big)^{1/2}. \tag{2.14}$$

Corollary 2.3 *Let $\nu \geq 3$. Assume that $\nu V - U_{\rm eff} > 0$. If β, V, g are sufficiently large such that the right-hand side of (2.13) is strictly positive, then we obtain*

$$\liminf_{\|x\|\to\infty} (-1)^{\|x\|} \langle q_x q_o \rangle_\beta > 0. \tag{2.15}$$

Thus, a staggered long-range charge order exists.

[3] To be precise, $\mathfrak{H}_M$ is defined by

$$\mathfrak{H}_M = \{ \psi \in \mathfrak{H} \,|\, N\psi = |\Lambda|\psi, \ S^3\psi = M\psi \}, \tag{2.9}$$

where $N = N_\uparrow + N_\downarrow$ and $S^3 = \frac{1}{2}(N_\uparrow - N_\downarrow)$ with $N_\sigma = \sum_{x\in\Lambda} n_{x\sigma}$. The condition $N\psi = |\Lambda|\psi$ indicates that we consider the case of half-filling.

3 Proof of Theorem 2.2

3.1 Lang–Firsov transformation

We set $H_\Lambda = T + P + I + K$, where

$$T = \sum_{\langle x;y\rangle} \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} (-t)\big(c^*_{x\sigma}c_{y\sigma} + c^*_{y\sigma}c_{x\sigma}\big), \tag{3.1}$$

$$P = U\sum_{x\in\Lambda} q_x^2 + V\sum_{\langle x;y\rangle} q_x q_y, \tag{3.2}$$

$$I = g\sum_{x\in\Lambda} q_x(b_x + b_x^*), \tag{3.3}$$

$$K = \omega\sum_{x\in\Lambda} b_x^* b_x. \tag{3.4}$$

For each $x \in \Lambda$, let

$$\phi_x = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\omega}}(b_x^* + b_x), \quad \pi_x = i\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2}}(b_x^* - b_x). \tag{3.5}$$

Both ϕ_x and π_x are essentially self-adjoint and we denote their closures by the same symbols. Next, let

$$L = -i\omega^{-3/2} g\sum_{x\in\Lambda} q_x\pi_x. \tag{3.6}$$

L is essentially antiself-adjoint. We also denote its closure by the same symbol. The *Lang-Firosov transformation* is a unitary operator defined by

$$\mathscr{U} = e^{-i\pi N_{\mathrm{p}}/2} e^L, \tag{3.7}$$

where $N_{\mathrm{p}} = \sum_{x\in\Lambda} b_x^* b_x$ [17]. We can check the following:

$$\mathscr{U} c_{x\sigma}\mathscr{U}^{-1} = e^{i\alpha\phi_x} c_{x\sigma}, \quad \alpha = \sqrt{2}\omega^{-3/2} g, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\mathscr{U} b_x \mathscr{U}^{-1} = b_x - \frac{g}{\omega} q_x. \tag{3.9}$$

Using these formulas, we obtain the following:

Lemma 3.1 *Let $H'_\Lambda = \mathscr{U} H_\Lambda \mathscr{U}^{-1}$. We have*

$$H'_\Lambda = T' + P' + K, \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$T' = \sum_{\langle x;y\rangle} \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} (-t)\Big(e^{-i\alpha(\phi_x-\phi_y)} c^*_{x\sigma}c_{y\sigma} + e^{+i\alpha(\phi_x-\phi_y)} c^*_{y\sigma}c_{x\sigma}\Big), \tag{3.11}$$

$$P' = U_{\mathrm{eff}}\sum_{x\in\Lambda} q_x^2 + V\sum_{\langle x;y\rangle} q_x q_y, \tag{3.12}$$

$$K = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{x\in\Lambda}(\pi_x^2 + \omega^2\phi_x^2). \tag{3.13}$$

3.2 The Schrödinger representation

The bosonic Fock space can be identified as

$$\mathfrak{P} = L^2(\mathcal{Q}_\Lambda, d\mu_\Lambda), \tag{3.14}$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_\Lambda = \mathbb{R}^\Lambda$ and $d\mu_\Lambda = \prod_{x\in\Lambda} d\phi_x$ is the $|\Lambda|$-dimensional Lebesgue measure. Moreover, each ϕ_x can be regarded as a multiplication operator by the *real*-valued function, and π_x can be regarded as a partial differential operator $-i\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi_x}$. This representation of the canonical commutation relations is called the *Schrödinger representation*. In the following section, we will focus on this representation.

3.3 The zigzag transformation

Following [8], we introduce the *zigzag transformation* as follows: Let

$$v_{x\sigma} = \left[\prod_{z\neq x}(-1)^{n_{z\sigma}}\right](c^*_{x\sigma} + c_{x\sigma}). \tag{3.15}$$

Note that $v_{x\sigma}^{-1} = v_{x\sigma}$. It is not hard to check that

$$v_{x\sigma}c_{x'\sigma'}v_{x\sigma}^{-1} = \begin{cases} c^*_{x\sigma} & \text{if } (x,\sigma) = (x',\sigma') \\ c_{x'\sigma'} & \text{if } (x,\sigma) \neq (x',\sigma') \end{cases}. \tag{3.16}$$

Let $\Lambda_{\mathrm{e}} = \{x \in \Lambda \,|\, \|x\| \text{ is even}\}$ and let $\Lambda_{\mathrm{o}} = \{x \in \Lambda \,|\, \|x\| \text{ is odd}\}$. Now, we set

$$\mathscr{V} = \prod_{x\in\Lambda_{\mathrm{o}}} v_{x\uparrow}v_{x\downarrow}. \tag{3.17}$$

We observe that

$$\mathscr{V}c_{x\sigma}\mathscr{V}^{-1} = \begin{cases} c^*_{x\sigma} & \text{if } x \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{o}} \\ c_{x\sigma} & \text{if } x \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{e}} \end{cases}, \quad \mathscr{V}q_x\mathscr{V}^{-1} = (-1)^{\|x\|}q_x. \tag{3.18}$$

Lemma 3.2 *Let $H''_\Lambda = \mathscr{V}H'_\Lambda\mathscr{V}^{-1}$. We have $H''_\Lambda = T'' + P'' + K$, where*

$$T'' = \sum_{x\in\Lambda_{\mathrm{e}}}\sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow}\sum_{j=1}^{\nu}\sum_{\varepsilon=\pm}(-t)\Big(e^{-i\alpha(\phi_x-\phi_{x+\varepsilon\delta_j})}c^*_{x\sigma}c^*_{x+\varepsilon\delta_j\sigma} + \text{h.c.}\Big), \tag{3.19}$$

$$P'' = U_{\mathrm{eff}}\sum_{x\in\Lambda} q_x^2 - V\sum_{\langle x;y\rangle} q_xq_y. \tag{3.20}$$

Here, δ_j $(j = 1,\dots,\nu)$ is the unit vector in $\mathbb{Z}^\nu$ defined by $\delta_j = (0,\dots,0,\underbrace{1}_{j-\text{th}},0,\dots,0)$.

Proof. T' can be expressed as

$$T' = \sum_{x\in\Lambda_{\mathrm{e}}}\sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow}\sum_{j=1}^{\nu}\sum_{\varepsilon=\pm 1}(-t)\Big(e^{-i\alpha(\phi_x-\phi_{x+\varepsilon\delta_j})}c^*_{x\sigma}c_{x+\varepsilon\delta_j\sigma} + \text{h.c.}\Big). \tag{3.21}$$

Thus, by using (3.18), we obtain (3.19), and similarly, (3.20). □

To show the main theorem, we introduce the following modified Hamiltonian:

Definition 3.3 For each $\mathbf{h} = \{h_x\}_{x\in\Lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^\Lambda$, we set

$$P''(\mathbf{h}) = (U_{\text{eff}} - \nu V) \sum_{x\in\Lambda} q_x^2 + \frac{V}{2} \sum_{\langle x;y\rangle} (q_x - h_x - q_y + h_y)^2 \tag{3.22}$$

and

$$H''_\Lambda(\mathbf{h}) = T'' + P''(\mathbf{h}) + K. \tag{3.23}$$

Trivially, we have $H''_\Lambda = H''_\Lambda(\mathbf{0})$. $\diamondsuit$

3.4 Reflection positivity

3.4.1 Overview

The hopping matrix elements in (3.19) are complex. In general, it is impossible to apply reflection positivity (RP) to a fermionic system with complex hopping matrix elements. However, a suitably modified RP can be still applicable to H''_Λ because these complex phase factors (i.e., $e^{-i\alpha(\phi_x - \phi_{x+\varepsilon\delta_j})}$) are not random, but rather exhibit a regular structure.

Next, we briefly explain the modified RP. Let $\mathfrak{X}_L$ and $\mathfrak{X}_R$ be complex Hilbert spaces, and let ϑ be an *antiunitary transformation* from $\mathfrak{X}_L$ onto $\mathfrak{X}_R$. In Appendix B, we prove the following:

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{X}_L\otimes\mathfrak{X}_R}\Big[A \otimes \vartheta A \vartheta^{-1}\Big] = \big|\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{X}_L}[A]\big|^2 \geq 0. \tag{3.24}$$

This is the basic idea of the modified RP. Thus, our problem is reduced to constructing a suitable ϑ. This formalism allows us to apply RP to H''_Λ.

In Proposition 3.10 and Appendix D, we actually construct a suitable ϑ. Moreover, in Lemmas 3.11 and 3.12, we prove that the extended RP can be applicable to our model. In these arguments, we carefully use the regular structure of the phase factors and the assumption that L is odd.

In the original paper [7, Section 3], the authors give several examples of how we construct RP. Our formalism is different from these examples and more convenient for studying the Holstein–Hubbard model.

3.4.2 Preliminaries

We divide Λ as $\Lambda = \Lambda_L \cup \Lambda_R$, where

$$\Lambda_L = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_\nu) \in \Lambda \,|\, x_1 < 0\}, \quad \Lambda_R = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_\nu) \in \Lambda \,|\, x_1 \geq 0\}. \tag{3.25}$$

Corresponding to this, we also divide $\ell^2(\Lambda)$ as

$$\ell^2(\Lambda) = \ell^2(\Lambda_L) \oplus \ell^2(\Lambda_R). \tag{3.26}$$

Hence, we have the following identifications:

$$\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}_L \otimes \mathfrak{F}_R, \tag{3.27}$$

where $\mathfrak{F}_L = \mathfrak{F}_{\rm as}(\ell^2_\uparrow(\Lambda_L) \oplus \ell^2_\downarrow(\Lambda_L))$ and $\mathfrak{F}_R = \mathfrak{F}_{\rm as}(\ell^2_\uparrow(\Lambda_R) \oplus \ell^2_\downarrow(\Lambda_R))$, and

$$\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{P}_L \otimes \mathfrak{P}_R, \tag{3.28}$$

where $\mathfrak{P}_L = \mathfrak{F}_{\rm s}(\ell^2(\Lambda_L)) = L^2(\mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda_L}, d\mu_{\Lambda_L})$ and $\mathfrak{P}_R = \mathfrak{F}_{\rm s}(\ell^2(\Lambda_R)) = L^2(\mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda_R}, d\mu_{\Lambda_R})$. Thus, the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$ can be identified as follows:

$$\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}_L \otimes \mathfrak{H}_R, \tag{3.29}$$

where $\mathfrak{H}_L = \mathfrak{F}_L \otimes \mathfrak{P}_L$ and $\mathfrak{H}_R = \mathfrak{F}_R \otimes \mathfrak{P}_R$. Under the identification (3.29), we have the following identifications:

$$c_{x\sigma} = \begin{cases} c_{x\sigma} \otimes \mathbb{1} & \text{if } x \in \Lambda_L \\ (-1)^{N_L} \otimes c_{x\sigma} & \text{if } x \in \Lambda_R, \end{cases} \tag{3.30}$$

where $N_L = \sum_{x \in \Lambda_L} n_x$, and

$$\pi_x = \begin{cases} \pi_x \otimes \mathbb{1} & \text{if } x \in \Lambda_L \\ \mathbb{1} \otimes \pi_x & \text{if } x \in \Lambda_R \end{cases}, \quad \phi_x = \begin{cases} \phi_x \otimes \mathbb{1} & \text{if } x \in \Lambda_L \\ \mathbb{1} \otimes \phi_x & \text{if } x \in \Lambda_R \end{cases}. \tag{3.31}$$

Using these, we state the following lemmas:

Lemma 3.4 *Under the identification (3.29), we have* $T'' = T''_L \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes T''_R + T''_{LR}$, *where*

$$T''_L = \sum_{x \in \Lambda_{\rm e},\ x_1 \le -2} \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \sum_{\varepsilon=\pm}{}' (-t) \Big(e^{-i\alpha(\phi_x - \phi_{x+\varepsilon\delta_j})} c^*_{x\sigma} c^*_{x+\varepsilon\delta_j\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \Big), \tag{3.32}$$

$$T''_R = \sum_{x \in \Lambda_{\rm e},\ x_1 \ge 0} \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \sum_{\varepsilon=\pm}{}'' (-t) \Big(e^{-i\alpha(\phi_x - \phi_{x+\varepsilon\delta_j})} c^*_{x\sigma} c^*_{x+\varepsilon\delta_j\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \Big), \tag{3.33}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T''_{LR} = & \sum_{x \in \Lambda_{\rm e},\ x_1 = 0} \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} (-t) \Big\{ \Big[e^{i\alpha\phi_{x-\delta_1}} (-1)^{N_L} c^*_{x-\delta_1\sigma} \Big] \otimes \Big[e^{-i\alpha\phi_x} c^*_{x\sigma} \Big] + \text{h.c.} \Big\} \\ & + \sum_{x \in \Lambda_{\rm e},\ x_1 = L-1} \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} (-t) \Big\{ \Big[e^{i\alpha\phi_{x+\delta_1}} (-1)^{N_L} c^*_{x+\delta_1\sigma} \Big] \otimes \Big[e^{-i\alpha\phi_x} c^*_{x\sigma} \Big] + \text{h.c.} \Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

Here, $\sum_{\varepsilon=\pm}{}'$ *refers to a sum over pairs* $\langle x; x+\varepsilon\delta_j \rangle$ *such that* $x, x+\varepsilon\delta_j \in \Lambda_L$. *Similarly,* $\sum_{\varepsilon=\pm}{}''$ *refers to a sum over pairs* $\langle x; x+\varepsilon\delta_j \rangle$ *such that* $x, x+\varepsilon\delta_j \in \Lambda_R$.

Remark 3.5 To obtain (3.34), we assume that L is odd. $\diamondsuit$

Lemma 3.6 *For each* $\mathbf{h} = \{h_x\}_{x\in\Lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^\Lambda$, *we set* $\mathbf{h}_L = \{h_x\}_{x\in\Lambda_L}$ *and* $\mathbf{h}_R = \{h_x\}_{x\in\Lambda_R}$. *We have* $P''(\mathbf{h}) = P''_L(\mathbf{h}_L)\otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1}\otimes P''_R(\mathbf{h}_R) + P''_{LR}(\mathbf{h})$, *where*

$$P''_L(\mathbf{h}_L) =(U_{\text{eff}} - \nu V)\sum_{x\in\Lambda_L} q_x^2 + \frac{V}{2}\sum_{\langle x;y\rangle,\ x,y\in\Lambda_L}(q_x - h_x - q_y + h_y)^2, \tag{3.35}$$

$$P''_R(\mathbf{h}_R) =(U_{\text{eff}} - \nu V)\sum_{x\in\Lambda_R} q_x^2 + \frac{V}{2}\sum_{\langle x;y\rangle,\ x,y\in\Lambda_R}(q_x - h_x - q_y + h_y)^2, \tag{3.36}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P''_{LR}(\mathbf{h}) =& - V\sum_{x\in\Lambda_{\rm e},\ x_1=0}(q_{x-\delta_1} - h_{x-\delta_1})\otimes(q_x - h_x) \\ & - V\sum_{x\in\Lambda_{\rm e},\ x_1=L-1}(q_{x+\delta_1} - h_{x+\delta_1})\otimes(q_x - h_x). \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

Lemma 3.7 *We have* $K = K_L\otimes\mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1}\otimes K_R$, *where*

$$K_L = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{x\in\Lambda_L}(\pi_x^2 + \omega^2\phi_x^2), \quad K_R = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{x\in\Lambda_R}(\pi_x^2 + \omega^2\phi_x^2). \tag{3.38}$$

For all $x\in\Lambda_L$, we define

$$a_{x\sigma} = c_{x\sigma}(-1)^{N_L}. \tag{3.39}$$

In terms of $a_{x\sigma}$, T''_L and T''_{LR} can be expressed as follows.

Proposition 3.8 *We obtain the following:*

$$T''_L = \sum_{x\in\Lambda_{\rm e},\ x_1\le -2}\sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow}\sum_{j=1}^{\nu}{\sum_{\varepsilon=\pm}}'(+t)\Big(e^{-i\alpha(\phi_x - \phi_{x+\varepsilon\delta_j})}a^*_{x\sigma}a^*_{x+\varepsilon\delta_j\sigma} + \text{h.c.}\Big), \tag{3.40}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T''_{LR} =& \sum_{x\in\Lambda_{\rm e},\ x_1=0}\sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow}(-t)\Big\{\Big(e^{i\alpha\phi_{x-\delta_1}}a^*_{x-\delta_1\sigma}\Big)\otimes\Big(e^{-i\alpha\phi_x}c^*_{x\sigma}\Big) + \text{h.c.}\Big\} \\ & + \sum_{x\in\Lambda_{\rm e},\ x_1=L-1}\sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow}(-t)\Big\{\Big(e^{i\alpha\phi_{x+\delta_1}}a^*_{x+\delta_1\sigma}\Big)\otimes\Big(e^{-i\alpha\phi_x}c^*_{x\sigma}\Big) + \text{h.c.}\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

Remark 3.9 Since $q_x = \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow}a^*_{x\sigma}a_{x\sigma} - \mathbb{1}$, expressions of $P''_L(\mathbf{h}_L)$ and $P''_{LR}(\mathbf{h})$ are unchanged if we write these in terms of $a_{x\sigma}$. $\diamondsuit$

3.4.3 Gaussian domination

We define the *reflection map* $r:\Lambda_R\to\Lambda_L$ by

$$r(x) = (-x_1 - 1, x_2, \dots, x_\nu), \quad x\in\Lambda_R. \tag{3.42}$$

We begin with the following proposition:

Proposition 3.10 *There exists an antiunitary transformation*[4] ϑ *from* $\mathfrak{H}_L$ *to* $\mathfrak{H}_R$ *such that*

$$c_{x\sigma} = \vartheta a_{r(x)\sigma}\vartheta^{-1}, \quad \phi_x = \vartheta\phi_{r(x)}\vartheta^{-1}, \quad \pi_x = -\vartheta\pi_{r(x)}\vartheta^{-1}, \quad x \in \Lambda_R, \tag{3.43}$$

$$\vartheta\Omega_L = \Omega_R, \tag{3.44}$$

where Ω_L *is the Fock vacuum* $\Omega_{\rm f}^L \otimes \Omega_{\rm b}^L$ *in* $\mathfrak{H}_L$ [5]*, and* Ω_R *can be defined in a similar manner.*

Proof. See Appendix D. □

Lemma 3.11 *We have the following:*

(i) $T_R'' = \vartheta T_L''\vartheta^{-1}$.

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} T_{LR}'' = &\sum_{x\in\Lambda_{\rm e},\ x_1=0}\sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow}(-t)\Big\{\Big(e^{i\alpha\phi_{x-\delta_1}}a^*_{x-\delta_1\sigma}\Big)\otimes\vartheta\Big(e^{i\alpha\phi_{x-\delta_1}}a^*_{x-\delta_1\sigma}\Big)\vartheta^{-1} + \text{h.c.}\Big\} \\ &+ \sum_{x\in\Lambda_{\rm e},\ x_1=L-1}\sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow}(-t)\Big\{\Big(e^{i\alpha\phi_{x+\delta_1}}a^*_{x+\delta_1\sigma}\Big)\otimes\vartheta\Big(e^{i\alpha\phi_{x+\delta_1}}a^*_{x+\delta_1\sigma}\Big)\vartheta^{-1} + \text{h.c.}\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.45}$$

Proof. While (ii) is trivial, (i) has be addressed carefully. First, T_L'' can be expressed as

$$T_L'' = \sum_{x\in\Lambda_{\rm o},\ x_1\le -1}\sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow}\sum_{j=1}^{\nu}{\sum_{\varepsilon=\pm}}'(+t)\Big(e^{-i\alpha(\phi_{x+\varepsilon\delta_j}-\phi_x)}a^*_{x+\varepsilon\delta_j\sigma}a^*_{x\sigma} + \text{h.c.}\Big). \tag{3.46}$$

Hence, by (3.33), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} T_R'' &= \vartheta\sum_{x\in\Lambda_{\rm e},\ x_1\ge 0}\sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow}\sum_{j=1}^{\nu}{\sum_{\varepsilon=\pm}}'(-t)\Big(e^{+i\alpha(\phi_{r(x)}-\phi_{r(x+\varepsilon\delta_j)})}a^*_{r(x)\sigma}a^*_{r(x+\varepsilon\delta_j)\sigma} + \text{h.c.}\Big)\vartheta^{-1} \\ &= \vartheta\sum_{X\in\Lambda_{\rm o},\ X_1\le -1}\sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow}\sum_{j=1}^{\nu}{\sum_{\varepsilon=\pm}}'(-t)\Big(e^{+i\alpha(\phi_X-\phi_{X+\varepsilon\delta_j})}a^*_{X\sigma}a^*_{X+\varepsilon\delta_j\sigma} + \text{h.c.}\Big)\vartheta^{-1} \\ &= \vartheta\sum_{X\in\Lambda_{\rm o},\ X_1\le -1}\sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow}\sum_{j=1}^{\nu}{\sum_{\varepsilon=\pm}}'(+t)\Big(e^{-i\alpha(\phi_{X+\varepsilon\delta_j}-\phi_X)}a^*_{X+\varepsilon\delta_j\sigma}a^*_{X\sigma} + \text{h.c.}\Big)\vartheta^{-1} \\ &= \vartheta T_L''\vartheta^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.47}$$

Here, we use the fact that r maps even sites to odd sites; namely, if $x \in \Lambda_{\rm e}$, then $r(x) \in \Lambda_{\rm o}$. Additionally, recall that ϑ is antilinear. □

The following lemmas then immediately follow from (3.43).

[4] Namely, ϑ is a bijective antilinear map which satisfies $\langle\vartheta\varphi|\vartheta\psi\rangle = (\langle\varphi|\psi\rangle)^*$ for all $\varphi, \psi \in \mathfrak{X}_L$.

[5] In the Schrödinger representation, $\Omega_{\rm b}^L = (\frac{1}{\pi})^{|\Lambda_L|/4}e^{-\sum_{x\in\Lambda_L}\phi_x^2/2}$. $\Omega_{\rm f}^L$ is the standard Fock vacuum in $\mathfrak{F}_L$. Note that $\Omega_{\rm b}^L$ is a real-valued function on $\mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda_L}$.

Lemma 3.12 *For all* $\mathbf{h}_R \in \mathbb{R}^{\Lambda_R}$*, we define* $r(\mathbf{h}_R) = \{h_{r^{-1}(x)}\}_{x\in\Lambda_R} \in \mathbb{R}^{\Lambda_L}$*. We have the following:*

(i) $P''_R(\mathbf{h}_R) = \vartheta P''_L(r(\mathbf{h}_R))\vartheta^{-1}$.

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} P''_{LR}(\mathbf{h}) = &- V \sum_{x\in\Lambda_{\mathrm{e}},\ x_1=0} (q_{x-\delta_1} - h_{x-\delta_1}) \otimes \vartheta(q_{x-\delta_1} - h_x)\vartheta^{-1} \\ &- V \sum_{x\in\Lambda_{\mathrm{e}},\ x_1=L-1} (q_{x+\delta_1} - h_{x+\delta_1}) \otimes \vartheta(q_{x+\delta_1} - h_x)\vartheta^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.48}$$

Lemma 3.13 *We have* $K_R = \vartheta K_L \vartheta^{-1}$.

Proposition 3.14 *For all* $\mathbf{h} = (\mathbf{h}_L, \mathbf{h}_R) \in \mathbb{R}^{\Lambda}$*, let* $Z_\beta(\mathbf{h}) = Z_\beta(\mathbf{h}_L, \mathbf{h}_R) = \mathrm{Tr}[e^{-\beta H''_\Lambda(\mathbf{h})}]$*. Then we have*

$$Z_\beta\big(\mathbf{h}_L, \mathbf{h}_R\big)^2 \le Z_\beta\big(\mathbf{h}_L, r^{-1}(\mathbf{h}_L)\big) Z_\beta\big(r(\mathbf{h}_R), \mathbf{h}_R\big), \tag{3.49}$$

where $r^{-1}(\mathbf{h}_L) := \{h_{r(x)}\}_{x\in\Lambda_L} \in \mathbb{R}^{\Lambda_R}$ *for each* $\mathbf{h}_L \in \mathbb{R}^{\Lambda_L}$.

Proof. Set

$$A = T''_L + P''_L(\mathbf{h}_L) + K_L, \quad B = T''_L + P''_L(r(\mathbf{h}_R)) + K_L, \tag{3.50}$$

$$C^{(1)}_{x,\pm} = q_{x\pm\delta_1} - h_{x\pm\delta_1}, \quad D^{(1)}_{x,\pm} = q_{x\pm\delta_1} - h_x, \tag{3.51}$$

$$C^{(2)}_{x,\pm} = D^{(2)}_{x,\pm} = e^{i\alpha\phi_{x\pm\delta_1}} a^*_{x\pm\delta_1\sigma} \tag{3.52}$$

By Lemmas 3.11–3.13, we see that $H''_\Lambda(\mathbf{h})$ has the form

$$A\otimes\mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1}\otimes\vartheta B\vartheta^{-1} - \sum_{x,\varepsilon,\sigma,\mu} \lambda_{x,\varepsilon,\mu}(C^{(\mu)}_{x,\varepsilon}\otimes\vartheta D^{(\mu)}_{x,\varepsilon}\vartheta^{-1} + C^{(\mu)*}_{x,\varepsilon}\otimes\vartheta D^{(\mu)*}_{x,\varepsilon}\vartheta^{-1}) \tag{3.53}$$

with $\lambda_{x,\varepsilon,\mu} \ge 0$. Thus, we can apply Theorem B.1. □

Corollary 3.15 *For all* $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^{\Lambda}$*, we have* $Z_\beta(\mathbf{h}) \le Z_\beta(\mathbf{0})$.

Proof. Here, we give a sketch of the proof only. First, let us clarify the intuitive meaning of inequality (3.49), namely, that the configurations $(\mathbf{h}_L, r^{-1}(\mathbf{h}_L))$ and $(r(\mathbf{h}_R), \mathbf{h}_R)$ are more "aligned" than the original configuration $\mathbf{h} = (\mathbf{h}_L, \mathbf{h}_R)$ because $(r(\mathbf{h}_R), \mathbf{h}_R)$ and $(\mathbf{h}_L, r^{-1}(\mathbf{h}_L))$ are invariant under r-reflection.

For simplicity, assume that $Z_\beta\big(\mathbf{h}_L, r^{-1}(\mathbf{h}_L)\big) > Z_\beta\big(r(\mathbf{h}_R), \mathbf{h}_R\big)$. Proposition 3.14 concerns the reflection map r with respect to a plane $x_1 = -1/2$. However, many reflection maps with respect to other planes exist as well. Thus, we can apply similar arguments associated with another reflection map to $Z_\beta(\mathbf{h}_L, r^{-1}(\mathbf{h}_L))$ and obtain an inequality similar to (3.49): $Z_\beta(\mathbf{h}_L, r^{-1}(\mathbf{h}_L))^2 \le Z_\beta(\mathbf{h}_1) Z_\beta(\mathbf{h}_2)$. The key point is that the resulting configurations $\mathbf{h}_1$ and $\mathbf{h}_2$ are more aligned than $(\mathbf{h}_L, r^{-1}(\mathbf{h}_L))$. Repeating these procedures, we finally arrive at the most aligned configuration $\mathbf{h}_0 = \mathrm{const}$. Since $Z_\beta(\mathbf{h}_0) = Z_\beta(\mathbf{0})$, we obtain the desired result (see [4, 7] for details). □

3.4.4 Infrared bound

Let Δ be the discrete Laplacian on Λ given by

$$(\Delta \mathbf{h})_x = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} (h_{x+\delta_j} + h_{x-\delta_j}) - 2\nu h_x \tag{3.54}$$

for all $\mathbf{h} = \{h_x\}_{x\in\Lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^\Lambda$. The following quantities will play essential roles:

$$g = \Big\langle \langle \mathbf{q}|(-\Delta)\mathbf{h}\rangle^* \langle \mathbf{q}|(-\Delta)\mathbf{h}\rangle \Big\rangle''_{\beta,\Lambda}, \tag{3.55}$$

$$b = \Big(\langle \mathbf{q}|(-\Delta)\mathbf{h}\rangle, \langle \mathbf{q}|(-\Delta)\mathbf{h}\rangle \Big)''_{\beta,\Lambda}, \tag{3.56}$$

$$c = \beta \Big\langle \Big[\langle \mathbf{q}|(-\Delta)\mathbf{h}\rangle, \Big[H''_\Lambda, \langle \mathbf{q}|(-\Delta)\mathbf{h}\rangle^* \Big] \Big] \Big\rangle''_{\beta,\Lambda}. \tag{3.57}$$

Here, we used the following notations:

- $\langle\cdot\rangle''_{\beta,\Lambda}$ is the thermal expectation associated with H''_Λ.
- $(A,B)''_{\beta,\Lambda}$ is the Duhamel two-point function associated with H''_Λ. Namely,

$$(A,B)''_{\beta,\Lambda} = (Z''_\beta)^{-1} \int_0^1 dx \mathrm{Tr}\big[e^{-x\beta H''_\Lambda} A^* e^{-(1-x)\beta H''_\Lambda} B \big], \tag{3.58}$$

$$Z''_\beta = \mathrm{Tr}\big[e^{-\beta H''_\Lambda} \big]. \tag{3.59}$$

- $\langle \mathbf{q}|(-\Delta)\mathbf{h}\rangle = \sum_{x\in\Lambda} q_x (-\Delta\mathbf{h})_x$.

We begin with the following lemma:

Lemma 3.16 *For all $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{C}^\Lambda$, we have*

$$b \le b_0, \tag{3.60}$$

where $b_0 = \dfrac{(\beta V)^{-1}}{2} \langle \mathbf{h}|(-\Delta)\mathbf{h}\rangle$. Here, $\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle$ is the standard inner product on $\mathbb{C}^\Lambda$.

Proof. By Corollary 3.15, we have $d^2 Z_\beta(\lambda\mathbf{h}) \Big/ d\lambda^2 \Big|_{\lambda=0} \le 0$. Thus, we obtain (3.60) for all $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^\Lambda$. Using the well-known fact $(A^*, A)''_{\beta,\Lambda} = (A_R, A_R)''_{\beta,\Lambda} + (A_I, A_I)''_{\beta,\Lambda}$ with $A_R = (A + A^*)/2$ and $A_I = (A - A^*)/2i$, we can extend (3.60) to complex $\mathbf{h}$. □

Lemma 3.17 *Let τ be a unitary operator on $\mathbb{C}^\Lambda$ given by $(\tau\mathbf{h})_x = \{(-1)^{\|x\|} h_x\}_{x\in\Lambda}$. For all $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{C}^\Lambda$, we have*

$$c \le c_0 \tag{3.61}$$

where $c_0 = 4\beta t \Big\langle (-\Delta)\mathbf{h} \Big| \tau(-\Delta)\tau^{-1}(-\Delta)\mathbf{h} \Big\rangle$.

Proof. Let $M = \tau(-\Delta)$. By direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big[\langle \mathbf{q}|(-\Delta)\mathbf{h}\rangle, \Big[H''_\Lambda, \langle \mathbf{q}|(-\Delta)\mathbf{h}\rangle^*\Big]\Big] \\ &= \sum_{\langle x;y\rangle}\sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow}(-t)\Big|(M\mathbf{h})_x - (M\mathbf{h})_y\Big|^2\big(e^{-i\alpha(\phi_x-\phi_y)}c^*_{x\sigma}c^*_{y\sigma} + \text{h.c.}\big).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have $c \le 4t\beta\langle (M\mathbf{h})|(-\Delta)(M\mathbf{h})\rangle = c_0$. This completes the proof. □

Proposition 3.18 *For all* $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{C}^\Lambda$*, we have*

$$g \le (2\pi)^{\nu/2}\gamma_1\langle \mathbf{h}|(-\Delta)\mathbf{h}\rangle + (2\pi)^{-\nu/2}\gamma_2\big\langle (-\Delta)\mathbf{h}|\tau^{-1}(-\Delta)\tau(-\Delta)\mathbf{h}\big\rangle. \tag{3.62}$$

Proof. Applying the Falk–Bruch inequality [5, 32], we have

$$g \le \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{bc}\coth\sqrt{\frac{c}{4b}}. \tag{3.63}$$

Since $\coth x \le 1 + \dfrac{1}{x}$, we have

$$g \le \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{bc} + b. \tag{3.64}$$

By Lemmas 3.16 and 3.17, it holds that

$$\sqrt{bc} \le \Big(\frac{t}{V}\Big)^{1/2}\langle \mathbf{h}|(-\Delta)\mathbf{h}\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{t}{V}\Big)^{1/2}\big\langle (-\Delta)\mathbf{h}|\tau^{-1}(-\Delta)\tau(-\Delta)\mathbf{h}\big\rangle. \tag{3.65}$$

Thus, we obtain the desired result. □

Theorem 3.19 *Let* $(2\pi)^{-\nu/2}c_\beta = \liminf_{\|x\|\to\infty}\langle q_x q_o\rangle''_\beta$*. We have*

$$\langle q_o^2\rangle''_\beta \le (2\pi)^{-\nu/2}c_\beta + \gamma_1\int_{\mathbb{T}^\nu}\frac{dp}{E(p)} + \gamma_2. \tag{3.66}$$

Proof. Let $G_{\beta,\Lambda}(x-y) = \langle q_x q_y\rangle''_{\beta,\Lambda}$. Let $E(p) = \sum_{j=1}^\nu(1-\cos p_j)$ and let $F(p) = \sum_{j=1}^\nu(1+\cos p_j)$. By the Fourier transformation, we see that

$$g = \frac{(2\pi)^\nu}{|\Lambda|}\sum_{p\in B_L}E(p)^2\Big\{(2\pi)^{\nu/2}\hat{G}_{\beta,\Lambda}(p)\Big\}|\hat{h}(p)|^2, \tag{3.67}$$

$$\langle \mathbf{h}|(-\Delta)\mathbf{h}\rangle = \frac{(2\pi)^\nu}{|\Lambda|}\sum_{p\in B_L}E(p)|\hat{h}(p)|^2, \tag{3.68}$$

$$\big\langle (-\Delta)\mathbf{h}|\tau^{-1}(-\Delta)\tau(-\Delta)\mathbf{h}\big\rangle = \frac{(2\pi)^\nu}{|\Lambda|}\sum_{p\in B_L}E(p)^2F(p)|\hat{h}(p)|^2, \tag{3.69}$$

where $\hat{h}(p) = (2\pi)^{-\nu/2}\sum_{x\in\Lambda}e^{-ix\cdot p}h_x$.

By inserting these formulas into (3.62) and taking $L \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^\nu} dpE(p)^2(2\pi)^{\nu/2}\hat{G}_\beta(p)|\hat{h}(p)|^2$$
$$\leq (2\pi)^{\nu/2}\gamma_1 \int_{\mathbb{T}^\nu} dpE(p)|\hat{h}(p)|^2 + (2\pi)^{-\nu/2}\gamma_2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^\nu} dpF(p)E(p)^2|\hat{h}(p)|^2. \tag{3.70}$$

From this, we know that $\hat{G}_\beta$ has the following form

$$\hat{G}_\beta(p) = a_\beta \delta(p) + I_\beta(p), \tag{3.71}$$

where $I_\beta(p)$ satisfies

$$I_\beta(p) \leq \gamma_1 \frac{1}{E(p)} + (2\pi)^{-\nu}\gamma_2 F(p). \tag{3.72}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\langle q_o^2 \rangle''_\beta = (2\pi)^{-\nu/2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^\nu} dp\, \hat{G}_\beta(p) = (2\pi)^{-\nu/2} a_\beta + (2\pi)^{-\nu/2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^\nu} dp I_\beta(p). \tag{3.73}$$

Combining this with (3.72), we obtain

$$\langle q_o^2 \rangle''_\beta \leq (2\pi)^{-\nu/2} a_\beta + \gamma_1 \int_{\mathbb{T}^\nu} \frac{dp}{E(p)} + \gamma_2. \tag{3.74}$$

Finally, we show that $a_\beta = c_\beta$. To this end, we observe that

$$\langle q_x q_o \rangle''_\beta = (2\pi)^{-\nu/2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^\nu} dp e^{-ix\cdot p}\hat{G}_\beta(p) = (2\pi)^{-\nu/2} a_\beta + (2\pi)^{-\nu/2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^\nu} dp\, e^{ip\cdot x} I_\beta(p). \tag{3.75}$$

By the Riemann–Lebesgue lemma, we know that $\lim_{\|x\|\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^\nu} dp\, e^{ix\cdot p} I_\beta(p) = 0$. Thus, we have $a_\beta = c_\beta$. □

3.5 Lower bound for $\langle q_o^2 \rangle''_\beta$

Lemma 3.20 *Assume that $\nu V - U_{\text{eff}} > 0$. Let $M_\Lambda = H''_\Lambda - K$. We have*

$$\Big\langle -\frac{M_\Lambda}{|\Lambda|} \Big\rangle''_{\beta,\Lambda} \leq 8\nu t + (\nu V - U_{\text{eff}}) \langle q_o^2 \rangle''_{\beta,\Lambda}. \tag{3.76}$$

Proof. First, remark that

$$-M_\Lambda = -T'' + (\nu V - U_{\text{eff}}) \sum_{x\in\Lambda} q_x^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\langle x;y \rangle} V(q_x - q_y)^2. \tag{3.77}$$

Since

$$\Big\langle \sum_{\langle x;y \rangle} (q_x - q_y)^2 \Big\rangle''_{\beta,\Lambda} \geq 0, \tag{3.78}$$

$$\langle q_x^2 \rangle''_{\beta,\Lambda} = \langle q_o^2 \rangle''_{\beta,\Lambda}, \ \forall x \in \Lambda, \tag{3.79}$$

$$\big|\langle T'' \rangle''_{\beta,\Lambda}\big| \leq 2t \sum_{\langle x;y \rangle} \sum_{\sigma} 1 = 8\nu t|\Lambda|, \tag{3.80}$$

we obtain the assertion in the lemma. □

Lemma 3.21 *We have*

$$\ln \mathrm{Tr}[e^{-\beta H''_\Lambda}] \geq \beta(\nu V - U_{\mathrm{eff}})|\Lambda|. \tag{3.81}$$

Proof. Let

$$\Psi = \left[\prod_{x\in\Lambda} c^*_{x\uparrow} c^*_{x\downarrow} \tilde{\Omega}_{\mathrm{f}} \right] \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_{\mathrm{b}}, \tag{3.82}$$

where $\tilde{\Omega}_{\mathrm{f}}$ (resp. $\tilde{\Omega}_{\mathrm{b}}$) is the Fock vacuum in $\mathfrak{F}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{P}$). Then, we otain

$$\langle \Psi | H''_\Lambda \Psi \rangle = -(\nu V - U_{\mathrm{eff}})|\Lambda|. \tag{3.83}$$

By the Peierls–Bogoliubov inequality [32], we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}[e^{-\beta H''_\Lambda}] \geq e^{-\beta \langle \Psi | H''_\Lambda \Psi \rangle} = e^{\beta(\nu V - U_{\mathrm{eff}})|\Lambda|}. \tag{3.84}$$

This completes the proof. □

Proposition 3.22 *We have*

$$\langle q_o^2 \rangle''_{\beta,\Lambda} \geq 1 - 8\nu t(\nu V - U_{\mathrm{eff}})^{-1} - (\nu V - U_{\mathrm{eff}})^{-1} \ln 4(1 - e^{-\beta\omega})^{-1}. \tag{3.85}$$

Proof. By Lemma C.1, we have

$$\ln \mathrm{Tr}[e^{-\beta H''_\Lambda}] \leq \langle (-\beta M_\Lambda) \rangle''_{\beta,\Lambda} + \ln \mathrm{Tr}[e^{-\beta K}]. \tag{3.86}$$

Note that $\mathrm{Tr}[e^{-\beta K}] = 4^{|\Lambda|}(1 - e^{-\beta\omega})^{-|\Lambda|}$. Combining this with Lemmas 3.20 and 3.21, we obtain the desired result. □

3.6 Completion of proof of Theorem 2.2

By (3.66), (3.85), and $\langle q_x q_o \rangle''_\beta = (-1)^{\|x\|} \langle q_x q_o \rangle_\beta$, we obtain the assertion in the theorem. □

A Proof of (2.5)

We will show that $\langle q_x \rangle_{\beta,\Lambda} = 0$. The *hole-particle transformation* is a unitary operator u such that

$$u c_{x\uparrow} u^{-1} = c_{x\uparrow}, \quad u c_{x\downarrow} u^{-1} = (-1)^{\|x\|} c^*_{x\downarrow}. \tag{A.1}$$

We set $\mathbb{H} = u H_\Lambda u^{-1}$. Since $u q_x u^{-1} = s_x := n_{x\uparrow} - n_{x\downarrow}$, we obtain $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}_0 + \mathbb{W}$, where

$$\mathbb{H}_0 = T + K, \tag{A.2}$$

$$\mathbb{W} = U \sum_{x\in\Lambda} s_x^2 + V \sum_{\langle x,y \rangle} s_x s_y + g \sum_{x\in\Lambda} s_x (b_x + b_x^*). \tag{A.3}$$

Here, T and K are defined by (3.1) and (3.4), respectively. Thus, we have

$$\langle q_x \rangle = \langle\langle s_x \rangle\rangle, \tag{A.4}$$

where $\langle\langle \cdot \rangle\rangle$ is the thermal expectation associated with $\mathbb{H}$.

Let D be a unitary operator such that

$$D c_{x\uparrow} D^{-1} = c_{x\downarrow}, \quad D c_{x\downarrow} D^{-1} = c_{x\uparrow}, \quad D b_x D^{-1} = -b_x. \tag{A.5}$$

Since $D\mathbb{H}D^{-1} = \mathbb{H}$ and $D s_x D^{-1} = -s_x$, we have $\langle q_x \rangle = \langle\langle s_x \rangle\rangle = 0$. This concludes the proof of (2.5). □

B The Dyson–Lieb–Simon inequality

Let $\mathfrak{X}_L$ and $\mathfrak{X}_R$ be complex Hilbert spaces and let ϑ be an antiunitary transformation from $\mathfrak{X}_L$ onto $\mathfrak{X}_R$. Let $A, B, C_j, D_j, j = 1, \ldots, n$ be linear operators in $\mathfrak{X}_L$. Suppose that A and B are self-adjoint and bounded from below and that C_j and D_j are bounded. We will study the following Hamiltonian:

$$H(A, B, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}) = H_0 - V, \tag{B.1}$$

$$H_0 = A \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes \vartheta B \vartheta^{-1}, \tag{B.2}$$

$$V = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j (C_j \otimes \vartheta D_j \vartheta^{-1} + C_j^* \otimes \vartheta D_j^* \vartheta^{-1}). \tag{B.3}$$

$H(A, B, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D})$ is a self-adjoint operator bounded from below acting in $\mathfrak{X}_L \otimes \mathfrak{X}_R$.

Theorem B.1 *Assume that $e^{-\beta A}$ and $e^{-\beta B}$ are trace class operators for all $\beta > 0$ and that $\lambda_j \geq 0$ for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Let $Z_\beta(A, B, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}) = \mathrm{Tr}\big[e^{-\beta H(A,B,\mathbf{C},\mathbf{D})}\big]$, $\beta > 0$. We then have*

$$Z_\beta(A, B, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D})^2 \leq Z_\beta(A, A, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}) Z_\beta(B, B, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D}). \tag{B.4}$$

Remark B.2 (i) In [4], all matrix elements of A, B, C_j, D_j are assumed to be *real*. However, as noted in [22, 25], this assumption is unnecessary. This point is essential for the present paper.

(ii) Suppose that $\dim \mathfrak{X}_L < \infty$. Therefore, we set $\mathfrak{X}_L = \mathfrak{X}_R = \mathbb{C}^n$. Let ϑ be the standard conjugation: $\vartheta\psi = \{\overline{\psi_j}\}_{j=1}^n$ for each $\psi \in \mathfrak{X}_L$. Hence, $\vartheta B \vartheta^{-1}$ represents the complex conjugation of the matrix elements of B. Now assume the following: (a) $C_j = D_j$ for all j; (b) C_j is self-adjoint for all j ($C_j^* = C_j$); (c) C_j is real for all j ($\vartheta C_j \vartheta^{-1} = C_j$). In this case, we obtain a finite temperature version of [22, Lemma 14]. $\diamondsuit$

Proof. While this theorem is proven in [25], we present the proof here for reader' convenience. It suffices to show the assertion when $\dim \mathfrak{X}_L < \infty$.

The following property is fundamental:

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{X}_L \otimes \mathfrak{X}_R}\Big[A \otimes \vartheta B \vartheta^{-1}\Big] = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{X}_L}[A]\big(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{X}_L}[B]\big)^*. \tag{B.5}$$

Especially, we have $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{X}_L \otimes \mathfrak{X}_R}\Big[A \otimes \vartheta A \vartheta^{-1}\Big] = \big|\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{X}_L}[A]\big|^2 \geq 0$. The reason for this is as follows. Since $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{X}_L \otimes \mathfrak{X}_R}\Big[A \otimes \vartheta B \vartheta^{-1}\Big] = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{X}_L}[A]\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{X}_R}[\vartheta B \vartheta^{-1}]$, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{X}_R}[\vartheta B \vartheta^{-1}] = (\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{X}_L}[B])^*$. Let $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a complete orthonormal system (CONS) of $\mathfrak{X}_R$. Remarking that $\{\vartheta^{-1} e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a CONS of $\mathfrak{X}_L$ and since $\langle \vartheta^{-1}\phi | \vartheta^{-1}\psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \phi \rangle$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{X}_R}[\vartheta B \vartheta^{-1}] &= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \langle e_n | \vartheta B \vartheta^{-1} e_n \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \langle \vartheta^{-1} \vartheta B \vartheta^{-1} e_n | \vartheta^{-1} e_n \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \langle B \vartheta^{-1} e_n | \vartheta^{-1} e_n \rangle = (\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{X}_L}[B])^*. \end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

As a first step, we will prove the assertion by assuming that C_j and D_j are self-adjoint. For simplicity, assume that $\lambda_j = 1/2$. By the Duhamel formula,

$$\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H(A,B;\mathbf{C},\mathbf{D})} = \sum_{N\geq 0} \mathscr{D}_{N,\beta}(A,B;\mathbf{C},\mathbf{D}), \tag{B.7}$$

$$\mathscr{D}_{N,\beta}(A,B;\mathbf{C},\mathbf{D}) = \int_{S_N(\beta)} e^{-t_1 H_0} V e^{-t_2 H_0} \cdots e^{-t_N H_0} V e^{-(\beta - \sum_{j=1}^N t_j) H_0}, \tag{B.8}$$

where $\int_{S_N(\beta)} = \int_0^\beta \mathrm{d}t_1 \int_0^{\beta - t_1} \mathrm{d}t_2 \cdots \int_0^{\beta - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} t_j} \mathrm{d}t_N$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathscr{D}_{N,\beta}(A,B;\mathbf{C},\mathbf{D}) \\ &= \sum_{k_1,\ldots,k_N \geq 1} \int_{S_N(\beta)} \Big[\mathscr{L}_{A;\mathbf{C}}\big(\mathbf{k}_{(N)};\mathbf{t}_{(N)}\big)\Big] \otimes \vartheta\Big[\mathscr{L}_{B;\mathbf{D}}\big(\mathbf{k}_{(N)};\mathbf{t}_{(N)}\big)\Big]\vartheta^{-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{B.9}$$

where $\mathbf{k}_{(N)} = (k_1,\ldots,k_N) \in \mathbb{N}^N$, $\mathbf{t}_{(N)} = (t_1,\ldots,t_N) \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$ and

$$\mathscr{L}_{X;\mathbf{Y}}\big(\mathbf{k}_{(N)};\mathbf{t}_{(N)}\big) = e^{-t_1 X} Y_{k_1} e^{-t_2 X} \cdots e^{-t_N X} Y_{k_N} e^{-(\beta - \sum_{j=1}^N t_j)X} \tag{B.10}$$

with $\mathbf{Y} = \{Y_j\}_j$. By this fact and (B.6), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{X}_L \otimes \mathfrak{X}_R}\Big[\mathscr{D}_{N,\beta}(A,B;\mathbf{C},\mathbf{D})\Big] \\ &= \sum_{k_1,\ldots,k_N \geq 1} \int_{S_N(\beta)} \Big\{\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{X}_L}\Big[\mathscr{L}_{A;\mathbf{C}}\big(\mathbf{k}_{(N)};\mathbf{t}_{(N)}\big)\Big]\Big\} \times \Big\{\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{X}_L}\Big[\mathscr{L}_{B;\mathbf{D}}\big(\mathbf{k}_{(N)};\mathbf{t}_{(N)}\big)\Big]\Big\}^*. \end{aligned} \tag{B.11}$$

Let us introduce an inner product by

$$\langle F|G\rangle_{N,\beta} = \sum_{k_1,\ldots,k_N \geq 1} \int_{S_N(\beta)} F\big(\mathbf{k}_{(N)};\mathbf{t}_{(N)}\big) G\big(\mathbf{k}_{(N)};\mathbf{t}_{(N)}\big)^*. \tag{B.12}$$

In terms of this inner product, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{X}_L \otimes \mathfrak{X}_R}\Big[\mathscr{D}_{N,\beta}(A,B;\mathbf{C},\mathbf{D})\Big] = \Big\langle F^{(N)}_{A;\mathbf{C}}\Big| F^{(N)}_{B;\mathbf{D}}\Big\rangle_{N,\beta}, \tag{B.13}$$

where

$$F^{(N)}_{X;\mathbf{Y}}\big(\mathbf{k}_{(N)};\mathbf{t}_{(N)}\big) = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{X}_L}\Big[\mathscr{L}_{X;\mathbf{Y}}\big(\mathbf{k}_{(N)};\mathbf{t}_{(N)}\big)\Big]. \tag{B.14}$$

By the Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Big|\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{X}_L \otimes \mathfrak{X}_R}\Big[e^{-\beta H(A,B,\mathbf{C},\mathbf{D})}\Big]\Big|^2 &= \Big|\sum_{N\geq 0} \Big\langle F^{(N)}_{A;\mathbf{C}}\Big| F^{(N)}_{B;\mathbf{D}}\Big\rangle_{N,\beta}\Big|^2 \\ &\leq \Big(\sum_{N\geq 0} \big\|F^{(N)}_{A;\mathbf{C}}\big\|^2_{N,\beta}\Big)\Big(\sum_{N\geq 0} \big\|F^{(N)}_{B;\mathbf{D}}\big\|^2_{N,\beta}\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{B.15}$$

where $\|W\|_{N,\beta}^2 := \langle W|W\rangle_{N,\beta}$. Finally, we remark that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{N\geq 0}\big\|F_{A;\mathbf{C}}^{(N)}\big\|_{N,\beta}^2 &= \sum_{N\geq 0}\sum_{k_1,\dots,k_N\geq 1}\int_{S_N(\beta)}\Big|\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{X}}\Big[\mathscr{L}_{A;\mathbf{C}}\big(\mathbf{k}_{(N)};\mathbf{t}_{(N)}\big)\Big]\Big|^2\\ &= \sum_{N\geq 0}\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{X}_L\otimes\mathfrak{X}_R}\Big[\mathscr{D}_{N,\beta}(A,A;\mathbf{C},\mathbf{C})\Big]\\ &= \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{X}_L\otimes\mathfrak{X}_R}\Big[e^{-\beta H(A,A;\mathbf{C},\mathbf{C})}\Big]. \end{aligned}\tag{B.16}$$

Combining (B.15) and (B.16), we obtain the assertion for the case where C_j and D_j are self-adjoint.

We note that for general C_j and D_j, these operators can be written as

$$C_j = \Re C_j + i\Im C_j,\quad D_j = \Re D_j + i\Im D_j,\tag{B.17}$$

where $\Re C_j, \Re D_j, \Im C_j$ and $\Im D_j$ are self-adjoint. Since

$$C_j\otimes\vartheta D_j\vartheta^{-1} + C_j^*\otimes\vartheta D_j^*\vartheta^{-1} = 2(\Re C_j\otimes\vartheta\Re D_j\vartheta^{-1} + \Im C_j\otimes\vartheta\Im D_j\vartheta^{-1}),\tag{B.18}$$

we can reduce the problem to the case where C_j and D_j are self-adjoint. □

C A useful lemma

Lemma C.1 *Let B and C be self-adjoint operators. Suppose that e^{-C} is a trace class operator and suppose that B is bounded. We have*

$$\ln\mathrm{Tr}\big[e^{-(B+C)}\big] \leq \langle -B\rangle + \ln\mathrm{Tr}\big[e^{-C}\big],\tag{C.1}$$

where $\langle X\rangle = \mathrm{Tr}\big[Xe^{-(B+C)}\big]\Big/\mathrm{Tr}\big[e^{-(B+C)}\big]$.

Proof. Let X and Y be self-adjoint. We know that $F(\lambda) = \ln\mathrm{Tr}[e^{\lambda X+(1-\lambda)Y}]$ is convex, e.g., as in [32]. Thus, we have $F(1)\geq F'(0)+F(0)$, which implies

$$\ln\mathrm{Tr}[e^X] \geq \langle X-Y\rangle_Y + \ln\mathrm{Tr}[e^Y],\tag{C.2}$$

where $\langle L\rangle_Y = \mathrm{Tr}[L\,e^Y]/\mathrm{Tr}[e^Y]$. Substituting $X=-C$ and $Y=-B-C$, we obtain the desired result. □

D Proof of Proposition 3.10

Let

$$\mathscr{S}_n^{(0)} = \big\{(X_1,\dots,X_n)\,\big|\,X_j\in\Lambda\times\{\uparrow,\downarrow\},\ j=1,\dots,n \text{ and } X_i\neq X_j, \text{ if } i\neq j\big\}.\tag{D.1}$$

Let $\mathfrak{S}_n$ be the permutation group on set $\{1,\dots,n\}$. Let $(X_1,\dots,X_n)$, $(Y_1,\dots,Y_n)\in\mathscr{S}_n^{(0)}$. If there exists a $\pi\in\mathfrak{S}_n$ such that $(X_{\pi(1)},\dots,X_{\pi(n)}) = (Y_1,\dots,Y_n)$, then we write $(X_1,\dots,X_n)\sim(Y_1,\dots,Y_n)$. The binary relation "$\sim$" on $\mathscr{S}_n^{(0)}$ is an equivalence

relation. We denote the quotient set $\mathscr{S}_n^{(0)}\backslash\sim$ by $\mathscr{S}_n$ and for the simplicity of notation, we still denote the equivalence class $[(X_1,\dots,X_n)]$ by $(X_1,\dots,X_n)$.

Set $\mathscr{S}=\bigcup_{n=0}^{2|\Lambda|}\mathscr{S}_n$, where $\mathscr{S}_{n=0}=\{\emptyset\}$. Let

$$\mathscr{S}_L=\big\{(X_1,\dots,X_n)\in\mathscr{S}\,\big|\,X_j\in\Lambda_L\times\{\uparrow,\downarrow\},\ j=1,\dots,n,\ n\in\{0\}\cup\mathbb{N}\big\},\tag{D.2}$$

$$\mathscr{S}_R=\big\{(X_1,\dots,X_n)\in\mathscr{S}\,\big|\,X_j\in\Lambda_L\times\{\uparrow,\downarrow\},\ j=1,\dots,n,\ n\in\{0\}\cup\mathbb{N}\big\}.\tag{D.3}$$

Here, if $n=0$, then we understand that $(X_1,\dots,X_n)=\emptyset$. For each $X=(x,\sigma)\in\Lambda\times\{\uparrow,\downarrow\}$, we set $c_X:=c_{x\sigma}$ and $a_X:=a_{x\sigma}$. For each $\mathbf{X}=(X_1,\dots,X_n)\in\mathscr{S}$, we define

$$e(\mathbf{X})=c^*_{X_1}\dots c^*_{X_n}\Omega_{\rm f},\quad f(\mathbf{X})=a^*_{X_1}\cdots a^*_{X_n}\Omega_{\rm f},\tag{D.4}$$

and $e(\emptyset)=\Omega_{\rm f}$, $f(\emptyset)=\Omega_{\rm f}$. The definition (D.4) is independent of the choice of the representative up to the sign factor, and trivially, $\{e(\mathbf{X})\,|\,\mathbf{X}\in\mathscr{S}_R\}$ is a CONS of $\mathfrak{F}_R$. We note that $\{a_X\,|X\in\Lambda\times\{\uparrow,\downarrow\}\}$ satisfies the CARs:

$$\{a_X,a^*_Y\}=\delta_{XY},\quad \{a_X,a_Y\}=0.\tag{D.5}$$

Moreover, it holds that $a_X\Omega_{\rm f}=0$ for all $X\in\Lambda\times\{\uparrow,\downarrow\}$. Thus, $\{f(\mathbf{X})\,|\,\mathbf{X}\in\mathscr{S}_L\}$ is a CONS of $\mathfrak{F}_L$.

For each $X=(x,\sigma)\in\Lambda_R\times\{\uparrow,\downarrow\}$, we set $r(X):=(r(x),\sigma)\in\Lambda_L\times\{\uparrow,\downarrow\}$, where r in the right-hand side is defined by (3.42). For each $\mathbf{X}=(X_1,\dots,X_n)\in\mathscr{S}_R$, we further extend the map r as follows:

$$r(\mathbf{X}):=(r(X_1),\dots,r(X_n))\in\mathscr{S}_L.\tag{D.6}$$

Thus, $\{f(r(\mathbf{X}))\,|\,\mathbf{X}\in\mathscr{S}_R\}$ is a CONS of $\mathfrak{F}_L$. For each $\Psi\in\mathfrak{F}_L$, we have the following expression:

$$\Psi=\sum_{\mathbf{X}\in\mathscr{S}_R}\Psi(r(\mathbf{X}))f(r(\mathbf{X})),\qquad \Psi(r(\mathbf{X}))=\langle f(r(\mathbf{X}))|\Psi\rangle.\tag{D.7}$$

Using the expression (D.7), we define an antilinear map ξ from $\mathfrak{F}_L$ onto $\mathfrak{F}_R$ by

$$\xi\Psi=\sum_{\mathbf{X}\in\mathscr{S}_R}\overline{\Psi(r(\mathbf{X}))}e(\mathbf{X})\tag{D.8}$$

and $\xi\Omega_{\rm f}^L=\Omega_{\rm f}^R$. ξ^{-1} is given by

$$\xi^{-1}\Phi=\sum_{\mathbf{X}\in\mathscr{S}_R}\overline{\Phi(\mathbf{X})}f(r(\mathbf{X}))\tag{D.9}$$

for each $\Phi=\sum_{X\in\mathscr{S}_R}\Phi(\mathbf{X})e(\mathbf{X})\in\mathfrak{F}_R$. It is not difficult to check that $\langle\xi\Psi_1|\xi\Psi_2\rangle=\overline{\langle\Psi_1|\Psi_2\rangle}$ for all $\Psi_1,\Psi_2\in\mathfrak{F}_L$. Hence, ξ is an antiunitary transformation.

Lemma D.1 *For all $X\in\Lambda_R\times\{\uparrow,\downarrow\}$, it holds that $\xi a_{r(X)}\xi^{-1}=c_X$.*

Proof. For each $\Phi = \sum_{\mathbf{Y}\in\mathscr{S}_R} \Phi(\mathbf{Y})e(\mathbf{Y}) \in \mathfrak{F}_R$, we have, by (D.8) and (D.9),

$$\begin{aligned}\xi a^*_{r(X)}\xi^{-1}\Phi &= \xi a^*_{r(X)} \sum_{\mathbf{Y}\in\mathscr{S}_R} \overline{\Phi(\mathbf{Y})} f(r(\mathbf{Y})) = \xi \sum_{\mathbf{Y}\in\mathscr{S}_R,\ X\notin\mathbf{Y}} \overline{\Phi(\mathbf{Y})} f(r(X,\mathbf{Y})) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{Y}\in\mathscr{S}_R,\ X\notin\mathbf{Y}} \Phi(\mathbf{Y})e(X,\mathbf{Y}) = c^*_X\Phi. \end{aligned} \tag{D.10}$$

Hence, $\xi a^*_{r(X)}\xi^{-1} = c^*_X$. □

Recall that $\mathfrak{H}_L = \mathfrak{F}_L \otimes L^2(\mathcal{Q}_L, d\mu_{\Lambda_L})$. We use the following identification:

$$\mathfrak{H}_L = \int^{\oplus}_{\mathcal{Q}_L} \mathfrak{F}_L d\mu_{\Lambda_L}(\boldsymbol{\phi}). \tag{D.11}$$

Thus, each vector $\Psi \in \mathfrak{H}_L$ is a $\mathfrak{F}_L$-valued measurable map on $\mathcal{Q}_L$, i.e., $\boldsymbol{\phi} \mapsto \Psi(\boldsymbol{\phi})$. Now, we define an antiunitary transformation ϑ from $\mathfrak{H}_L$ onto $\mathfrak{H}_R$ by

$$(\vartheta\Psi)(\boldsymbol{\phi}) = (\xi\Psi)(r^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\phi}))\ \text{ a.e. } \boldsymbol{\phi}\in\mathcal{Q}_R,\ \Psi\in\mathfrak{H}_L, \tag{D.12}$$

where, for each $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \{\phi_x\}_{x\in\Lambda_R} \in \mathcal{Q}_R$, we define $r^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\phi}) \in \mathcal{Q}_L$ by $\big(r^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\phi})\big)_x = \phi_{r^{-1}(x)}$, $x \in \Lambda_L$.

Remark D.2 For each measurable function $F(\boldsymbol{\phi})$ ($\boldsymbol{\phi}\in\mathcal{Q}_L$) on $\mathcal{Q}_L$, $F(r^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\phi}))$ ($\boldsymbol{\phi}\in\mathcal{Q}_R$) can be regarded as a function on $\mathcal{Q}_R$. Let $\Psi\in\mathfrak{H}_L$. By (D.7), we have the following expression:

$$\Psi(\boldsymbol{\phi}) = \sum_{\mathbf{X}\in\mathscr{S}_R} \Psi_{r(\mathbf{X})}(\boldsymbol{\phi}) f(r(\mathbf{X}))\ \text{ a.e. } \boldsymbol{\phi}\in\mathcal{Q}_L, \tag{D.13}$$

where $\Psi_{r(\mathbf{X})}(\boldsymbol{\phi}) = \langle f(r(\mathbf{X}))|\Psi(\boldsymbol{\phi})\rangle$. Using this, we have

$$(\vartheta\Psi)(\boldsymbol{\phi}) = \sum_{\mathbf{X}\in\mathscr{S}_R} \overline{\Psi_{r(\mathbf{X})}(r^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\phi}))} e(\mathbf{X})\ \text{ a.e. } \boldsymbol{\phi}\in\mathcal{Q}_R. \quad \diamondsuit \tag{D.14}$$

Proposition D.3 *ϑ satisfies all properties in (3.43) and (3.44).*

Proof. By Lemma D.1, it is easy to check that $\vartheta a_{r(X)}\vartheta^{-1} = c_X$.

Note that the action of the multiplication operator ϕ_x is as follows: For each $\Psi\in\mathfrak{H}_L$ and $x\in\Lambda_L$,

$$(\phi_x\Psi)(\boldsymbol{\phi}) = \phi_x\Psi(\boldsymbol{\phi})\ \text{ a.e. } \boldsymbol{\phi}\in\mathcal{Q}_L. \tag{D.15}$$

Thus, we have, for each $\Psi\in\mathfrak{H}_L$ and $x\in\Lambda_R$,

$$(\vartheta\phi_{r(x)}\Psi)(\boldsymbol{\phi}) = \phi_x\xi\Psi(r^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\phi})) = (\phi_x\vartheta\Psi)(\boldsymbol{\phi})\ \text{ a.e. } \boldsymbol{\phi}\in\mathcal{Q}_R, \tag{D.16}$$

which implies $\vartheta\phi_{r(x)}\vartheta^{-1} = \phi_x$.

Next, we will prove that $\vartheta\pi_{r(x)}\vartheta^{-1} = -\pi_x$. Since $\pi_x = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi_x}$, we have, for each $\Psi\in\mathfrak{H}_L$ and $x\in\Lambda_L$,

$$(\pi_x\Psi)(\boldsymbol{\phi}) = (-i)\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial\phi_x}(\boldsymbol{\phi})\ \text{ a.e. } \boldsymbol{\phi}\in\mathcal{Q}_L. \tag{D.17}$$

Hence, we have, for each $\Psi \in \mathfrak{H}_L$ and $x \in \Lambda_L$,

$$(\vartheta \pi_x \Psi)(\boldsymbol{\phi}) = (+i)\overline{\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \phi_x}}(r^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\phi})) = (+i)\frac{\partial(\vartheta\Psi)}{\partial \phi_{r^{-1}(x)}}(\boldsymbol{\phi}) = -(\pi_{r^{-1}(x)}\vartheta\Psi)(\boldsymbol{\phi}) \qquad \text{(D.18)}$$

for a.e. $\boldsymbol{\phi} \in \mathcal{Q}_R$. Here, we used the fact that ξ is antilinear. Thus, we conclude that $\vartheta \pi_x \vartheta^{-1} = -\pi_{r^{-1}(x)}$ for each $x \in \Lambda_L$, which implies $\vartheta \pi_{r(x)} \vartheta^{-1} = -\pi_x$ for each $x \in \Lambda_R$. □

References

[1] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, J. R. Schrieffer, Theory of superconductivity. Phys. Rev. 108 (1957), 1175-1204.

[2] R. A. Bari, Effects of short-range interactions on electron-charge ordering and lattice distortions in the localized state. Phys. Rev. B 3 (1971), 2662-2670.

[3] M. Capone, M. Fabrizio, C. Castellani, E. Tosatti, Colloquium: Modeling the unconventional superconducting properties of expanded A_3C_{60} fullerides. Rev. Mod. Phys. 81 (2009), 943-958.

[4] F. J. Dyson, E. H. Lieb, B. Simon, Phase transitions in quantum spin systems with isotropic and nonisotropic interactions. J. Stat. Phys. 18 (1978), 335-383.

[5] H. Falk, L. W. Bruch, Susceptibility and fluctuation. Phys. Rev. 180 (1969), 442-444.

[6] J. K. Freericks, E. H. Lieb, Ground state of a general electron-phonon Hamiltonian is a spin singlet. Phys. Rev. B 51 (1995), 2812-2821.

[7] J. Fröhlich, R. Israel, E. H. Lieb, B. Simon, Phase transitions and reflection positivity. I. General theory and long range lattice models. Comm. Math. Phys. 62 (1978), 1-34.

[8] J. Fröhlich, R. Israel, E. H. Lieb, B. Simon, Phase transitions and reflection positivity. II. Lattice systems with short-range and Coulomb interactions. J. Stat. Phys. 22 (1980), 297-347.

[9] J. Fröhlich, B. Simon, T. Spencer, Infrared bounds, phase transitions and continuous symmetry breaking. Comm. Math. Phys. 50 (1976), 79-95.

[10] J. Glimm, A. Jaffe, T. Spencer, Phase transitions for φ_2^4 quantum fields. Comm. Math. Phys. 45 (1975), 203-216.

[11] J. Glimm, A. Jaffe, *Quantum physics. A functional integral point of view. Second edition.* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.

[12] A. Giuliani, V. Mastropietro, M. Porta, Universality of conductivity in interacting graphene. Comm. Math. Phys. 311 (2012), 317-355.

[13] J. Jedrzejewski, Electron charge ordering in the extended Hubbard model. Z. Phys. B Condensed Matter 48 (1982), 219-225.

[14] T. Kosugi, T. Miyake, S. Ishibashi, R. Arita, H. Aoki, First-principles structural optimization and electronic structure of the superconductor picene for various potassium doping levels. Phys. Rev. B 84 (2011), 214506.

[15] K. Kubo, T. Kishi, Rigorous bounds on the susceptibilities of the Hubbard model. Phys. Rev. B 41 (1990), 4866-4868.

[16] Y. Kubozono, H. Mitamura, X. Lee, X. He, Y. Yamanari, Y. Takahashi, Y. Suzuki, Y. Kaji, R. Eguchi, K. Akaike, T. Kambe, H. Okamoto, A. Fujiwara, T. Kato, T. Kosugi, H. Aoki, Metal-intercalated aromatic hydrocarbons: a new class of carbon-based superconductors. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 13 (2011), 16476-16493

[17] I. G. Lang, Y. A. Firsov, Kinetic theory of semiconductors with low mobility. Sov. Phys. JETP 16 (1963), 1301.

[18] A. Lanzara, P. V. Bogdanov, X. J. Zhou, S. A. Kellar, D. L. Feng, E. D. Lu, T. Yoshida, H. Eisaki, A. Fujimori, K. Kishio, J.-I. Shimoyama, T. Noda, S. Uchida, Z. Hussain, Z.-X. Shen, Evidence for ubiquitous strong electron-phonon coupling in high-temperature superconductors. Nature 412 (2001), 510-514.

[19] E. H. Lieb, Two theorems on the Hubbard model. Phys. Rev. Lett. 62 (1989), 1201-1204.

[20] E. H. Lieb, Flux phase of the half-filled band. Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 (1994), 2158-2161.

[21] E. H. Lieb, M. Loss, Fluxes, Laplacians, and Kasteleyn's theorem. Duke Math. J. 71 (1993), 337-363.

[22] E. H. Lieb, B. Nachtergaele, Stability of the Peierls instability for ring-shaped molecules. Phys. Rev. B 51 (1995), 4777-4791.

[23] H. Löwen, Absence of phase transitions in Holstein systems. Phys. Rev. B 37 (1988), 8661-8667.

[24] M. Macris, B. Nachtergaele, On the flux phase conjecture at half-filling: An improved proof. J. Stat. Phys. 85 (1996), 745-761.

[25] T. Miyao, Self-dual cone analysis in condensed matter physics. Rev. Math. Phys. 23 (2011), 749-822.

[26] T. Miyao, Ground state properties of the SSH model. J. Stat. Phys. 149 (2012), 519-550.

[27] T. Miyao, Rigorous results concerning the Holstein–Hubbard model. arXiv:1402.5202, Annales Henri Poincare (in press).

[28] T. Miyao, Upper bounds on the charge susceptibility of many-electron systems coupled to the quantized radiation field. Lett. Math. Phys. 105 (2015), 1119-1133.

[29] Y. Murakami, P. Werner, N. Tsuji, H. Aoki, Ordered phases in the Holstein-Hubbard model: Interplay of strong Coulomb interaction and electron-phonon coupling. Phys. Rev. B 88 (2013), 125126.

[30] Y. Nomura, K. Nakamura, R. Arita, Ab initio derivation of electronic low-energy models for C_{60} and aromatic compounds. Phys. Rev. B 85 (2012), 155452.

[31] K. Osterwalder, R. Schrader, Axioms for Euclidean Green's functions. Comm. Math. Phys. 31 (1973), 83-112. Axioms for Euclidean Green's functions. II. With an appendix by Stephen Summers. Comm. Math. Phys. 42 (1975), 281-305.

[32] B. Simon, *The Statistical Mechanics of Lattice Gases, Volume I.* Princeton Univ Press, 1993

[33] Y. Takabayashi, A. Y. Ganin, P. Jegli, D. Aron, T. Takano, Y. Iwasa, Y. Ohishi, M. Takata, N. Takeshita, K. Prassides, M. J. Rosseinsky, The disorder-free Non-BCS superconductor Cs_3C_{60} emerges from an antiferromagnetic insulator parent state. Science 323 (2009), 1585-1590.